THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

2007 JUL 23 P 3: 15

FILED

J&J SPORTS PRODUCTION, INC.,

: CLERN DA LIBER DOURT
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

Plaintiff, :

: Case No. 1:07cv522 JCC/TRJ vs.

EL GRAN PALENQUE RESTAURANT, INC., Et als.

Defendant.

ANSWER

:

COMES NOW the Defendant, EL GRAN PALENQUE RESTAURANT, INC., a Virginia Corporation, by Counsel, and for the Answer to the Complaint heretofore filed in this cause states and alleges as follows:

- 1. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #1 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require an answer.
- 2. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #2 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require an answer.

3. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #3 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require an answer.

4. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #4 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict proof thereof.

- 5. The Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph #5 of the Complaint.
- 6. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #6 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge to know who Defendant, **JOHN DOE**, is and therefore demands strict proof thereof.
- 7. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #7 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict proof thereof.
- 8. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #4 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict proof thereof.
- 9. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in Paragraph #9 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict proof thereof.

Case 1:07-cv-00522-TRJ Document 4 Filed 07/23/2007 Page 3 of 6

10. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #10 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict

proof thereof.

11. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #11 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict

proof thereof.

12. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #12 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict

proof thereof.

13. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #13 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict

proof thereof.

14. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #14 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

15. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #15 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

16. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #16 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

17. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #17 of the Complaint

in so far as it implies that Defendant permitted anything to occur and neither admits nor denies

Page 3 of 6

Case 1:07-cv-00522-TRJ Document 4 Filed 07/23/2007 Page 4 of 6

each of the allegations contained in the balance Paragraph #17 of the Complaint, because it is

without sufficient knowledge and demands strict proof thereof.

18. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #18 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict

proof thereof.

19. The Defendant incorporates each of its responses to previous paragraph in this

response.

20. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #20 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require

an answer.

21. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #21 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof.

22. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #22 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require

an answer.

23. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #23 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

24. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #24 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

Page 4 of 6

William E. Hassan, Attorney at Law 2007 North 15th Street Suite #100 Arlington, VA 22201 (703) 243-7552 (703) 997-4002 (FAX) www.BillHassanLaw.com

veh@whassanlaw.com

Case 1:07-cv-00522-TRJ Document 4 Filed 07/23/2007 Page 5 of 6

25. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #25 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

26. The Defendant incorporates each of its responses to previous paragraph in this

response.

27. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #27 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require

an answer.

28. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #28 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

29. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #29 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require

an answer.

30. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #30 of the Complaint, states that it is solely a legal conclusion which does not require

an answer.

31. The Defendant neither admits nor denies each of the allegations contained in

Paragraph #31 of the Complaint, because it is without sufficient knowledge and demands strict

proof thereof.

32. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #32 of the Complaint

and demands strict proof thereof

Page 5 of 6

William E. Hassan, Attorney at Law 2007 North 15th Street Suite #100 Arlington, VA 22201 (703) 243-7552 (703) 997-4002 [FAX] www BillHassanLaw.com weh?@whassanlaw.com

- 33. The Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph #33 of the Complaint and demands strict proof thereof
- 34. The Defendant denies each and every other allegation expressed or implied in the Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 35. Defendant reserves the right to such other and further defenses as may hereafter be revealed by investigation and discovery.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, having fully answered, the Defendant prays that this Honorable Court will dismiss the Compliant and grant unto your Defendant its costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred herein.

Respectfully submitted,

EL GRAN PALENQUE RESTAURANT, INC.,
By Counsel

WILLIAM E, HASSAN, ESQ.

VSB # 18077

Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Pleading was sent regular mail, postage prepaid, handcarried, telefaxed on the day of day of 2007 to:

M. Scott Bucci, Esq. BUCCI & DIX, LLC

The Fairfax Building at Johnston Willis 10710 Midlothian Turnpike, Suite 304

Richmond, Virginia 23235 Counsel for Plaintiff

ounsel for Plaintiff

William E. Hassan

G:\Hassan\Clients\Information Analysis\Pleadings\RFP PLTF 1.wpd